

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/940,081	IANNIZZOTTO ET AL.
	Examiner Matthew O Savage	Art Unit 1723

All Participants:

(1) Matthew O Savage. (3) ____.

(2) Mr. Paul Iannizzotto. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 11 May 2004

Status of Application: ____

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-5

Prior art documents discussed:

Van Dieren, of record.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the substitute specification could not be entered since it included new matter because a marked-up copy had not been provided. Mr. Iannizzotto accepted the proposed amended specification and new claims 4 and 5 drafted by the examiner to patently distinguish over the art of record, all having basis in the original drawing Figures filed on 8-28-01 and the specification, claim and abstract filed on 7-31-02. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details..